

Proposed Extension of the Wood Green Outer CPZ and introduction of the Woodside CPZ

Record of representations, Statutory Notification

Name	Received Date	Number	Road	Grounds of representation/Comments made	Comments, Support or Objection	Email/ Letter	Within proposed area?
1 Alex Hansen, Sonia Watson	12-Feb-10	21	Granville Rd	We live at the eastern end of Granville road, and are strongly in favour of the proposed introduction of the Woodside CPZ as outlined in the Statutory Notification 11/02/2010. There is one detail that we think needs re-addressing on Granville road we would need parking as well as cars from the minicab office and business owners on Lordship Lane. In addition to this there has been an increase of the Saturday shoppers who park for the day while shopping in Wood Green, particularly since the opening of the new Primark store I urge you to extend the days of operation to include Saturdays for the introduction of the Woodside CPZ to be of maximum benefit for residents.	Support	Email	Yes
2 Anrita Sahodree	12-Feb-10	13	Eldon Road	We would like Eldon Road to be CPZ controlled	Support	Email	Yes
3 Ms M E White	14-Feb-10	8	Paisley Road	I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend the controlled parking zone to Paisley Road Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leth, Berwick, Forfar, Stirling, and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, visitors to the Crown Court and other non residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If I have to go out in my car it is almost impossible to find a parking space in Paisley Road on return, apart from on Sundays. It has made it very difficult for me, and below I have listed some of the issues I have faced since last March as a resident of Paisley Road I don't expect to park outside my own house every day but do expect to be able to park in my own road, especially as there would be more than enough space for residents cars, if non residents were not allowed to park here The amount of traffic using Paisley Road has increased considerably as people drive up and down the road looking for parking spaces here or in neighbouring roads. I have had surgery on both knees during the past 12 months and for periods of time have not been able to walk far, though for more of that time have been able to drive. I have found great difficulty not being able to park in my road and there have been friends who are put off visiting me because of the problems they have parking near to my house. After shopping at the supermarket I go to the problems they have parking near to my house. I've had people doing so, but feel forced to leave wheelie bins and other objects in the road to try to keep a parking space free close to their homes Residents don't want to pay to park in their own roads Delivery and Post Office vans constantly double park in order to make deliveries The neighbour's garage that has a dropped kerb, has frequently been blocked by cars parked across it that don't belong to residents There are cars parked in Perth Road by the bus stops make it difficult to get on and off the bus safely and leads to the bus temporarily blocking the road, which has also led to cars dangerously overtaking buses while they are letting people on and off at the bus stop Non residents have parked cars and vans in Paisley Road outside residents homes for several days and sometimes weeks at a time. They are just using Paisley Road as a free car park and it prevents residents parking and causes a great deal of irritation I had a large and ugly van parked outside my house for more than a week, it blocked out the light and was very unsightly, it didn't belong to a resident but it had an up to date tax disc so there was nothing I could do about it. There are a number of comments like this These are the reasons why I support the council proposals to extend the CPZ to Paisley Road and hope that it can be introduced as soon as possible so I can get back to normal life instead of having the daily nightmare of problems with parking that we have	Support	Email	Yes
4 Mrs. Oshumiyi	14-Feb-10	51	Granville Road	WOODSIDE AREA PARKING CONSULTATION - PROPOSED EXTENSION OF THE WOOD GREEN OUTER CONTROLLED PARKING ZONE (CPZ) and the introduction of the Woodside CPZ. I have received the Statutory Notification regarding the extension of the CPZ for Granville Road from its junction with Lordship Lane to Eldon Road. I am very much in favour of the proposals because the last year have been hell in this area with people who come and park in the road. They come very early in the morning and soon they take all the space that is available. They wait on double yellow lines to see if someone will leave and then quickly either reverse a distance or go at high speed forward. There are a number of people who live in the neighbouring CPZs but park their car in the Woodside area to avoid paying for the parking. I will be very happy when the CPZ will be implemented because my family and friends are able to come and visit me. Some of them are unable to drive around the area to find a space to park and then walk to my house, because of their age it is too much. Also firms who deliver goods are able to park without the fear of getting a penalty ticket. The double yellow lines to several junctions at the end of the road outside the proposed CPZ areas is very welcome. Drivers park to the end of the street blocking pavements for pedestrians and disabled people in wheelchairs or motorised wheelchairs. I am very grateful for all the work the team for Sustainable Transport have done. Especially Mr. Vincent Valerio and his team who organised all the meetings and consultation time with clear plans and explanations.	Support	Email	Yes
5 Veena Rishi	12-Feb-10	4	New Road	I live in New Road. I know we have been spared this time round, but I do object to you going ahead with it at Saxon. Eldon and Fife Road even though they have objected to it. By implementing this, it will cause problem in my road as people will park here. I think it is a sleazy way of going about it. The council has created the problem by doing just that. Your intention is and always has been to cover the whole borough and gain revenue. I think it is high time the council start listening to people	Objection	Email	Yes

6	Thekia Soutanian	15-Feb-10	5	New Road	I am objecting to the planned controlled parking zone for New Road N22. I am aware that there will be a risk of 'displacement parking' which was created by the CPZ zones in the first place. We do not have a parking problem in new road at the moment and I cannot believe that your leaflet actually states that if you don't agree with our plans to expand CPZ you will suffer from displacement parking, so we are being told that in the future when this scheme is in place your road will have parking problems which we created. People also find ways of getting permits for close friends and relatives and you find that people who don't live in the road have full time parking permits as the paperwork that you need to provide for a permit can be easily obtained for the purposes of getting and permit, and then changed at a later date. Disabled parking permit fraud is rife in Haringey. Haringey's Transport and Parking departments are perceived by most residents of the borough as money grabbers and its about money and not about SENSIBLE LONG TERM PLANNING for the good of the environment of the residents of the borough. If sensible planning was the priority they would not have introduced CPZ schemes that would have a damaging knock on effect on the neighbouring streets. until ultimately the whole borough will be CPZ. I object to the CPZ as I do not want big jerrying showing up on my road towing peoples cars away for parking and I don't want men in uniform walking up and down inspecting for people who have not parked with a permit. We are a quiet road and the ne My car was towed away after my 2 hour free parking on a free bay by two minutes. According to my watch I was on time. People who commit crimes and create court costs and damage to the community dont even get fined this much. I stood there and v The worst of it was that there several empty spaces in the free section of road I was parked on, so my 2 mins extra time was not stopping anyone else having access to parking (again according to haringey warden) NO TO CPZ in New Road and the other neighbouring zones, eldon road, saxon road, etc etc. we don't need it	Objection	Email	Yes
7	Irene Madaschi	09-Feb-10	3	Saxon Road	I would like to make my views known with regards to the CPZ. On the last two consultations I have voted against the CPZ. However I am aware of your persistence in raising revenue and due to the problem which a CPZ will create by displacemnt parking, I suggest that residents pay for marked out bays outside of their houses. The rest of the road will be for visitors. This will also maximise the capacity for parking	Comments	Email	Yes
8	Hilary Connammen	14-Feb-10	26	Saxon Road	We the occupiers of 26 Saxon Road N22 are in favour of the CPZ scheme being introduced in our road as it is getting very difficult to park in our road due to amount of traffic from elsewhere parking in our road now	Support	Letter	Yes
9	Mr and Mrs G Fontanini	17/02/10	89	Perth Road	I have been a resident in Perth Road in Wood Green for twenty years and have never experienced the terrible parking problems we have in our road at the moment. Since the existing CPZ was extended to neighbouring roads (including half of Perth Road), which I can only think must have been done with very little thought as to what effects it would have on the rest of Perth Road, our parking problems have been a nightmare. At present residents are having to implement their own parking controls by putting whiteie bits in the road to mark out parking space on their return home! This, of course, is not always being effective. Other residents including my own 70 year old mother are unable to get on and off the buses due to vehicles being parked at the two W3 bus stops. All parking spaces are allocated to commuters, people who shop and work in Wood Green and residents in the neighbouring CPZ, who find it much cheaper to park their second car just a few yards away from the CPZ. We are at the moment getting together a petition to support the CPZ in response to the Statutory Notification dated 11th February 2010 and encouraging residents to write letters of support for the CPZ. One aspect that has become very apparent on our visits to neighbouring houses is that those who support the introduction of parking controls are those residents, who like myself are home workers or have lived in the area for quite a few years. Those who are in favour of the CPZ are those who have moved into the area in the last few years and go ahead with the extension of the Wood Green Outer Controlled Parking Zone. As stated in the Statutory Consultation, the council's commitment is to listen to the local community's parking concerns. We therefore hope that those in power listen to us and go ahead with the extension of the Wood Green Outer Controlled Parking Zone.	Support	Email	Yes Wood Green Extension
10	Jenny Kearney	18/02/10	6	Paisley Road	I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend the controlled parking zone to Paisley Road Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leth, Berwick, Forfar, Stirling and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who won't pay the permit charge, bus drivers and other non residents, including commercial vehicles who park in our road for days at a time. If you move your car for a couple of hours it is impossible to find a parking space on returning. It has made it very difficult for me as a resident to park in my own road and has increased the amount of traffic using Paisley Road as people drive around looking for a parking space. After going out to do shopping I have been unable to park near my house, meaning I have to carry bags of shopping down the street. I am 8 months pregnant and find it impossible to park near my house. I am worried that this will continue when I have the baby and will make it difficult to go out during the day. There are several large commercial vans which get left for days outside our house, blocking any natural daylight. Delivery vans have to double park which is dangerous for other drivers and pedestrians. I do not expect to be able to always park outside my house but I would appreciate the opportunity to park on my road. Permits would discourage non residents from taking all the spaces. These are some of the reasons why I support the council proposals to extend the CPZ to Paisley Road	Support	Email	Yes

11	Mr Stefano Fontanini	21/02/10	89	Perth Road	With regard to the proposed extension of the Wood Green Outer Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ), I am writing as a nineteen year old student living in Perth Road to express my view on the matter. I am currently taking driving lessons in the area on a weekly basis and I cannot comprehend the manner in which Perth Road has been divided into two, a controlled and an uncontrolled parking zone. On numerous occasions my driving instructor and I have found it extremely difficult to park before and after lessons but the most significant factor is in regard to road safety. Due to the sheer amount of vehicles parked on either side of the road, visibility into the main road is always limited if not non-existent. As a resident of Perth Road, I firmly believe in the extension of the Wood Green Outer Controlled Parking Zone as not only will it relieve traffic and make parking for residents more available, it will also ensure the safety of those using the road, a road which over the years has had more than its fair share of accidents. I urge the council to introduce the CPZ as soon as possible to the roads that are in favour.	Support	Email	Yes
12	Kathryn Maund	22/02/10	9	Granville Road	IN SUPPORT of Woodside Area Parking Consultation – Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ I am writing in strong support of introduction of a Controlled Parking Zone to Granville Road. Since the extension of the existing CPZ, it has been extremely difficult to park not only in Granville Rd but in any of the neighbouring roads. The extent of traffic on Granville Rd has greatly increased due to people constantly driving around the block in the search of a parking space, many cars waiting idly until someone vacates a space or cars reversing back down the one way system in a bid to make it to a vacant space before someone else takes it making road safety a serious issue. As Granville Rd is the closest point to Wood Green High Road the area closest to the Bowling Green is constantly under pressure for parking spaces. The lack of parking spaces for residents will only lead to homeowners obtaining permission to convert their front gardens into driveways which will not only detract from the aesthetics of the road and compound the parking problem but does not encourage a green, eco friendly environment and will only cause drainage /rainwater run off problems. Myself and other residents have upon returning from the supermarket had to park in the bus lane on Lordship lane which not only means having to make many long trips to and from the car to unload the shopping but then means having to keep an eye on the car. Non residents either commuting from Wood Green or working in Wood Green are becoming more and more of a problem as they vie for spaces and are even starting to use harassing behaviour such as using their horns to see if you are going to move or I don't expect to park directly outside of my property but I do expect to park at least in the same road and not to have to circle the area several times in the hope that another motorist has vacated a space. Therefore I am in strong support of the introduction of extending the CPZ.	Support	Email	Yes
13	Agnieszka Wirus	23/02/10	7	Granville Road	I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to introduce the controlled parking zone to Granville Road. Since the existing CPZ was extended in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken by the commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day or early evening it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return. It has also increased the amount of traffic using Granville Road as people drive up and down looking for parking. After going out shopping or to appointments I have to carry my baby home from where I have been able to park. Usually I have many bags of shopping and I have to carry the baby on each trip. Delivery and Post Office vans constantly double park in order to make deliveries completely blocking the road. I don't expect to park outside my own house every day but do expect to be able to park in my own road especially as there would more then enough space for residents cars if non residents were not allowed to park here. These are some of the reasons why I support the proposal to introduce the CPZ to Granville Road.	Support	Email	Yes
14	Ireneusz Zielinski	23/02/10	7	Granville Road	I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to introduce the controlled parking zone to Granville Road. Since the existing CPZ was extended in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken by the commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day or early evening it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return. It has also increased the amount of traffic using Granville Road as people drive up and down looking for parking. After going out shopping or to appointments I have to carry my baby home from where I have been able to park. Usually I have many bags of shopping and I have to carry the baby on each trip. Delivery and Post Office vans constantly double park in order to make deliveries completely blocking the road. I don't expect to park outside my own house every day but do expect to be able to park in my own road especially as there would more then enough space for residents cars if non residents were not allowed to park here. These are some of the reasons why I support the proposal to introduce the CPZ to Granville Road.	Support	Email	Yes

15	Simon Redfern	24/02/10	9	Granville Road	IN SUPPORT of Woodside Area Parking Consultation – Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ On the initial consultation for the Outer Zone, I objected, as I knew that it would force cars into the adjacent area. This is certainly the case now. As the Outer Zone will not be reduced I am writing to show strong support of introduction of a Controlled Parking Zone to Granville Road. Since the extension of the existing CPZ, it has been extremely difficult to park not only in Granville Rd but in any of the neighbouring roads. Those opposing the CPZ in other roads are failing to notice that I am one of the people being forced to park in their street due to cars from other areas parking in Granville Road. There is a car parked across the road from my house which has not moved in 4 months. The owner lives in the Outer Zone and uses our street as a car park. The extent of traffic on Granville Rd has greatly increased due to people constantly driving around the block in the search of a parking space, many cars waiting idly, causing extra disturbance and pollution, until someone vacates a space or cars reversing back down the one way system in a bid to make it to a vacant space before someone else takes it making road safety a serious issue. The lack of parking spaces for residents will only lead to homeowners requesting permission to convert their front gardens into driveways which will not only detract from the aesthetics of the road and compound the parking problem but does not encourage them to park in their own street. When I am at my car, maybe checking the oil or unloading, cars will stop and ask if I am moving on. Sometimes they become abusive when I say that I am not. I don't expect to park directly outside of my property but I do expect to park at least in the same road and not to have to circle the area several times in the hope that another motorist has vacated a space. Not for convenience necessarily, but to actually I again state that I am in total support of the introduction of CPZ in Granville Road and neighbouring streets.	Support	Email	Yes
16	Sherry F	24/02/10		Paisley Road	Ever since the existing CPZ was extended to other nearby roads, it really has become almost impossible for us residents to park in our own streets and closer to our homes! We are plagued with problems arising out of complete strangers to the street who park in our street and seem totally inconsiderate to the residents convenience! I am faced with a whole lot of issues related to parking some of them being: - I can't find parking on my own street because somebody has parked in front of my house - In most cases it turns out the person has parked on my street, caught the bus from nearby Perth Road and has disappeared for the day, re-surfacing towards the end of it! - Increased traffic, noise and pollution - Increased parking issues caused by parking issues - We also seem to witness arguments between residents and non-residents over parking spaces - Sometimes find ourselves having to unload heavy shopping and lug it from streets away! This becomes worse for residents who have young children, old parents and disabled visitors finding no parking space to park their cars - On the odd occasions, when we have to park in our own street, we are forced to double park thereby causing confusion - Our children, dogs and post vans are forced to double park on our street and go off on holidays for weeks, blocking precious parking, how unfair is that?! - We noticed people park their cars and vans on our street and go off on holidays for weeks, blocking precious parking, how unfair is that?! - Strange, huge, unsightly & dirty builder's and commercial vans block much needed sunlight, besides making an ugly view from their make! Needless to say I strongly support the extension of the CPZ and do hope it comes into force soon.	Support	Email	Yes
17	CSL	24/02/10		Paisley Road	Since the existing CPZ was extended to other nearby roads, it really has become almost very difficult for us residents to park in our own streets and closer to our homes! We are inundated with parking problems created by non-residents who park on our street and are inconsiderate to us the residents! This seems highly unfair to us the residents who face hordes of problems some of them being: - No parking space on my own street, near my home - Non-residents parking on our street and disappearing till the end of the day - Increase in traffic and noise and pollution - Street fights caused by parking or rather the lack of it - Having to unload and lug heavy shopping from streets away, sometimes its with young children and old relatives - Double-parked delivery and post vans - Sometimes people park their cars and vans and go off for weeks!! - Builder's and commercial vans parked in front of our homes blocking scarce sunlight I MOST STRONGLY support the extension of the CPZ to Paisley Road and can't wait for it to happen!	Support	Email	Yes
18	Mr G Fontaine	24/02/10	89	Perth Road	I have been a resident in Perth Road, Wood Green since 1981 and have never experienced the parking problems we have in our road at present. A few years back Dunbar and Solway Road had parking problems and they asked the council to introduce a CPZ. At present I feel the council should introduce Controlled Parking to the end of Perth Road. There has been a consultation and most of the residents of Perth Road want a CPZ, a few do not, and the rest are renting and do not care as in a few months they will be moving on. I am aware that a few residents in Norman Avenue are lobbying against the CPZ. This is because they have no parking problems at present and are afraid to be in the position Perth Road is at present. Their reasons appear to be according to a letter they have passed through my door: A CPZ would cause disruption to normal routines giving nowhere to park for traders A CPZ would damage local shops and businesses Excuse me, what about the shops and businesses of Perth, Paisley, Granville Roads who have nowhere to park. Are we not to be counted? We want a CPZ, it should not have been introduced at the same time as the rest of the Scotch Estate. We urge the council to press with the introduction of the CPZ as soon as possible. It is important for these people to understand that the council does not just introduce parking controls, they listen to local residents and introduce parking controls where wanted.	Support	Email	Yes

19	Jose Rodrigues	24/02/10	10	Granville Road	I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to granville road and following are some of the reasons in view of this support 1 I have a three year old and at times after doing groceries it is so difficult to find a space to park my car even on the whole stretch of granville road where I live) 2 Most of the cars parked on granville road are those who park their cars and go to work, I have monitored this personally on my day off starting 8.00am in the morning 3 Traffic influx is heavy due to the fact that most of the drivers keep their cars parked and round to find a parking space and this can normally take between 8-15mins 4 All the above mentioned factors could be avoided if the young man was trying to pull out of his parked space so I stopped behind him to take that space he jumped down his car and asked me that I could park only if I left early in the morning and I honestly told him no he then realised that I was not a coward and I asked him to take the space I was trying to take and he said I would be a coward rather than last but not the least my fervent request is to make decisions for better community that live in harmony and a fair opportunity to those that deserve this space and have gained it legally	Support	Email	Yes
20	Andy Harvey	25/02/10	94	Eldon Road	I support the extension of the CPZ to cover Eldon Road and surrounding streets as this will reduce the problem of displacement parking from other areas However, I think that the whole idea of CPZs needs to be addressed as this extension will just cause displacement problems elsewhere and is not a sustainable solution. A more holistic approach is necessary that looks at parking capacity, Park and Ride, alternatives to road transport etc. For now please note that I am in favour of the proposed extension	Support	Email	Yes
21	Helen Byers	24/02/2010	108	Granville road,	Thank you for being helpful on the phone. As advised I am writing in regard to the letter sent on 11th February 2010 entitled 'statutory notification for Woodside area parking consultation' I live at 108 Granville road, between Eldon and Ellenborough road, just outside the proposed CPZ. I am against this proposal as we will be directly affected by displacement parking to an even greater degree than we are already suffering with the recently imposed CPZ in nearby streets. An extension of the CPZ to the next section of Granville road would be a solution for the problems myself and my immediate neighbours face, although the best solution for the neighbourhood is CPZ within the pink boundary indicated on the map Please contact me if you need anything further and please let me know any developments	Comments	Email	Yes
22	Cheryl + Atef Moham	24/02/10	104	Granville Road	I refer to your letter concerning the above. I live at 104 Granville Road and am very disappointed by the results of your recent consultation and the new proposals set out in the statutory notification of 11th Feb. These will not resolve the problems of parking in our road, indeed by making only part of the road a cpz zone it will increase the problems for the rest of the road. With the school in Ellenborough road the traffic flow is already a huge problem and your proposals will make this worse and certainly more dangerous for both children and residents alike The cpz should be extended to the entire of Granville Road and Ellenborough Road.	Comments Inclusion of Granville Road	Email	Yes
23	Mr K H Tsang	26/02/10		Saxon Road	As a resident, I am oppose to the introduction of CPZ in Saxon Road. There is no parking problem in this road. The roads outside of the proposed area did not vote for CPZ. Saxon Road also did not vote for CPZ and should not be proposed for it. CPZ will have a bad effect on social and family life, discouraging visitors. CPZ can get complex and cost local residents more and more for different situation and scenario. Not to mention, more fines will be issued for ever more situation and scenario - costing astronomically more for local residents	Objection	Email	Yes
24	Valentino Pivari	26/02/10	19	Ellenborough court,	My name is Valentino Pivari and I live and own 19 Ellenborough court, Ellenborough road, N22 5DB I do not see the need for the introduction of parking restriction in my area, as personally I do not have problems in finding parking close to my home The double yellow lines are located efficiently at the moment, an extension of those will diminish parking spaces therefore I will prefer if they are left as they are now To conclude, I want to thank you to have contacted me regarding this matter but I reject the proposed extension of the CPZ and I reject any increase of the double yellow lines areas	Objection	Email	No
25	charline palmer	28/02/10	14 living court,	Eldon Road	My name is Charline Lachoo and I live at 14 living court, Eldon Rd. It is VERY UNFAIR for the council to consider introducing CPZ in my road I do not want this, nor should I have to pay to park where I live. I have small children and rely on friends and family members to look after them. This is a bad idea for the community and the CPZ will not benefit any one, except Harringey council. This is just another way of Harringey council squeezing more and more money out of people. By extending the CPZ in this area will just cause problems for the roads which don't have CPZ AND eventually you will have to extend your money making scheme again.	Objection	Email	Yes

26	Kay LaFrenais Perry LaFrenais	28/02/10	(Saxon Road)	I cannot believe that although the majority decision of Woodside was that they did not want CPZ (61%) the council still intends to railroad through this plan. Why consult the residents if you are going to ignore their opinions and do whatever you want? We are opposed to CPZ on several grounds: A CPZ would have a bad effect on our family life, discouraging visitors A CPZ would isolate my elderly next door neighbour who is housebound and relies on visitors, she will have to pay for permits for visitors and carers A CPZ would cause disruption to our normal routines giving nowhere to park for traders & workmen that we want to call A CPZ is not needed in this area. The council is responsible for the parking problems that there are from extending CPZ in Noel Park and on the Scotch Esplanade, driving more people to park in the other non-CPZ streets The permits for visitors are expensive and I believe are limited in number. This is unacceptable The majority of people in this area are opposed to the scheme- what justification can you have for going ahead. If you are truly consulting the people whom you purport to serve, you must take this majority decision into account and not introduce CPZ to Woodside	Objection	Email	Yes
27	Helen Cobben	28/02/10	61 Eldon Road	I am writing regarding the proposed introduction of a woodside CPZ. I live on Eldon Road, and I object to the proposals being made to introduce a CPZ in this area. There are several reasons why I object to these proposals -From my experience of living here for the past 2 1/2 years, a CPZ is not necessary. If you walk around the proposed area in the daytime (when permits would be required) you will notice that there are several available car parking spaces, so this indicates that it is unnecessary to make this a CPZ area, as there is available space for residents to park -The limitations of the number of visitors permits that are available is a big concern. As neither my or my partners family live nearby they need to stay when they do visit, and I resent the number of visits being restricted when I'm in my own property. This will become an even bigger issue as our family grows and we want our parents to be involved with our children regularly - Many of the residents of this area, are elderly people, and from a social issues perspective it is of concern that this will discourage visitors to this needy group, and limit them to a restricted number of visits -Surely if a majority of residents have indicated that they are against such proposals, it is unnecessary, and unethical to introduce them, seeing as it is the residents who live in the area, and not the council members -If the CPZ area is introduced unnecessarily, then it will cost me a lot of extra money to pay for workmen to park when they come to my property. This is annoying because they do not currently have any issues parking, so the zone is not needed in the first place -If parking were an issue then this may be an option that required consideration, but as the parking situation stands currently it seems unnecessary. Even considering your point that there may be displacement parking from an extension to the perth road	Objection	Email	Yes
28	Kaye Kan	01/03/10	Eldon Road 75	I would just like to voice out that I do not want a Woodside CPZ as I believe it is not needed in this area It will damage local shops and business and disrupt visitors/cars who want to visit as they will have to pay to visit people in the CPZ I currently can find a parking spot and feel it is another method of making money as I do not feel it is to control parking or traffic when we have plenty spaces already.	Objection	Email	Yes
29	Thomas Huges	24/02/2010	18 Melrose Avenue	My name is Thomas and I live in 18 Melrose Avenue. Because this fight has been going on for a while between the Council and the residents of Woodside about the CPZ I as a tenant would like to express my worry about the issue. I own a car that I have to use for work everyday (and it is really because of work and not because of the extremely unreliable Transport For London) and that applies for many people in the area including the shopkeepers, carers and other businesses such as traders. We have to use it out once and the second time again we voted 61% against it. We voted against it once before the issue had to come up again is strange in itself. The CPZ will have a really bad effect on the residents, visitors local businesses and even the relationship between the Council and the people of Woodside. Honestly the only positive outcome of it would be that huge amount of money that is pulled out of our pocket absolutely unnecessarily which won't make the Council any popular in these financially hard times at all. Here I would like to protest against the whole idea of CPZ. I would like to hear about your thoughts on the issue.	Objection	Email	Yes

30	Maria Castro	01/03/10	Tintern Road	Further to recent correspondence received with regards to the proposed enforcement of CPZ in my area, as a resident of Tintern Road I would like to point out that I do not see that we have been left much choice but to accept the fact that CPZ is to be introduced- we cannot accept the fact it will be introduced to Eldon Road and part of Granville Road- which will force cars to park in Tintern Road- As it is already impossible to park Near to my home never mind outside my house – I can only imagine what will happen when the CPZ is enforced – I feel that by arranging the border to Be along Eldon and Granville Road, the Council is bullying us into submission- knowing full well the parking situation at the moment, and knowing That we would have to then start to look for parking further away! Personally, I think that the Council is just creating bottleneck areas and are just after even more money off the tax payer- I hope the Council realises, if I may add, that this does not mean that everybody will legitimately pay for the privilege to park in case they don't know or they do and they actually turn a blind eye like every other dodgy scheme that there is around – this will just be another piece of paper forged by the many who do not pay Council tax etc In the meantime, I will add, that I have now signed a letter prepared by the residents of Tintern Road, who I am a sure have felt have had to surrender As we also feel that if we do not accept we will be losing in the long run. I am afraid that all this comes a little too late- and I am sure that if councillors actually experienced what the residents of 'Woodside' are – things would Possibly be very different.	Comments	Email	Yes
31	Helen Williams & Matthew Bookman	01/03/10	Homecroft Road	I am writing to express my strong objection to the extension/introduction of a Controlled Parking Zone in the Woodside area To go ahead with these measures after the majority of Woodside households said NO to the CPZ is outrageous I live in Homecroft Road and although the CPZ will not be extended to my road, it will without a doubt turn it in to an 'overspill car park' It will also have a very bad effect by reducing the amount of space for visitors/family/friendsmen to park. This area does NOT need a CPZ. The people of Woodside have already told you this and to go against our wishes is disgraceful! I hope you will reconsider this whole unnecessary scheme, whose only purpose it seems is as money spinner for the council	Objection	Email	No
32	Steve and Lesley Harris	01/03/2010	64 Granville Road	Woodside Area Parking Consultation-Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ We are writing to say that we strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to include Granville Road and Eldon Road. Since the existing CPZ was extended in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult to park in the road where we live and has lead to an increase in the volume of traffic as people have to drive up and down the road trying to find a space to park We rent a garage in Eldon Road and on a regular basis are unable to either get the car out of the garage or put it back in the garage as cars have parked across the entrance. This has lead to us having to have cars removed on several occasions, missing appointments, being unable to collect our children. After shopping we have had to carry all the shopping from either the garage or from an adjoining road. Our solution has been to do grocery shopping on line where the delivery van has needed to park on the junction of Eldon Road and Granville Road thus making it dangerous for other road users and pedestrians Our visitors or any deliveries have to park in adjoining roads We've recently had work done in our flat the builder had to park several roads away and carry all the materials from his vehicle These are some of the reasons that we support the proposal to extend the CPZ to Granville Road	Support	Email	Yes

				Woodside Area Parking Consultation - Proposed extension and introduction CPZ				
				I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to Granville Road				
				Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leith, Berwick, Forfar, Stirling and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay in their own roads, people working or visiting the Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return				
				It has made it very difficult for me as a resident to park in my own road, and has also increased the amount of traffic using Granville Road as people drive up and down the road looking for parking spaces here or in neighbouring roads.				
				After shopping at the supermarket I have to either carry heavy bags of shopping from my car in a neighbouring road some distance away or double park outside my house to unload				
				When I have visitors they are unable to find somewhere nearby to park, which has discouraged elderly visitors from visiting me				
				Friends are put off visiting me because of the problems they have parking near to my house				
				I've had people doing work in my house who have had to park several roads away and carry materials from their vehicles or double park outside to unload				
				I am just writing to let you know that I am strongly in favour of the introduction of control parking zone to Granville Road				
				I drive a car and find it almost impossible to park when I go out. So much so that it actually puts me off using the car at times. However there are times when I have to use it. For example when I visit my mother who is 76 and often needs help now. She lives in Islington borough where they have cpz and I am happy to pay for vouchers to be able to visit her. I am sure all my friends and family visitors who would like to see me and my family would be grateful for cpz here because the way things stand they can't visit because they can't get parking				
				Parking places are taken up by commuters, and non residents. Some cars/vehicles are parked and left for days on end without being moved too.				
				PLEASE bring in CPZ				
				Thankyou.				
				Re: Statutory notification Woodside area parking consultation				
				Dear Joan Hancock,				
				I write in response to the statutory notification of Woodside area parking consultation – Granville Rd. I am one of those that voted against the imposing of CPZ and am still against the introduction of the CPZ zone. I do not want controlled parking in my street - Granville Road"				
				1 - The problem of lack of parking space in Granville road & other none CPZ roads in the area has only happened since CPZ was introduced to Noel Park area & Perth road area. The people of Noel Park & Perth road area who aren't paying the CPZ charges in their roads are parking in non CPZ zones including Granville road & neighbouring roads resulting in lack of parking spaces for the residents of the none CPZ streets				
				For everyone in these areas the best solution is to remove the CPZ zone from Noel Park & Perth Rd areas, this will resolve all parking problems for everyone in the none CPZ zones. All the CPZ does is cause parking problems in none CPZ zones. On these points I disagree to CPZ being forced in				
				2- By implementing a CPZ from 8am to 6.30pm you are causing more problems and costs for the residents who live in Granville road & other streets you intend to implement the CPZ. This also effects the local businesses on Lordship Lane who will lose				
				There is absolutely no reason to introduce CPZ from 8am to 6.30pm, the most that is required is an hour during the day to stop people from surrounding CPZ zones and commuters parking in none CPZ zones				
				3 - Some of the people I have spoken with who park in Granville road don't live in Granville road but work at the council office. The council should provide parking for its council workers and this will also decrease pressure of lack of parking space for re				
								</

36	Darren Jackson	01/03/10	44	Granville Road	I have since the paper consultation in October 2009 made a survey of the residents living in Granville road as to whether they are for or against the introduction of CPZ in Granville Rd & the majority of people who were home on the days of collecting sign 4- Of the few in Granville Rd who said yes to the CPZ being implemented One was an elderly lady who can't walk more than 100 metres & relies on her four sons to take it in turn to call round and take care of her & her husband. She believes that the introduction of CPZ will mean they can park outside her house CPZ as we know it Another elderly gentleman informed us his wife is disabled & due to her lack of mobility he was for the CPZ. Again as he is a pensioner how is he to afford to pay the fees for visitors vehicles on their pensions. He commented he has lived for a number of years in Granville Rd Knowing how the expensive costs of visitor parking fees will financially effect the elderly. I disagree that CPZ is going to help them. in fact I believe it's going to be a financial problem for them Another is a business man who wants to park his work vehicle outside his council block, it's fine for him as he can offset all his parking costs against his business & he is probably the only person who can offset these costs 5 - I disagree & dispute both the expensive costs of the visitors parking fees & the number in which they have to be purchased and the methods in which they can be purchased. And on all points are my argument for against the CPZ being introduced The costs for people to purchase parking tickets for visitors are A - expensive at £8 per day £4.80 for 2 hrs & £2.40 per hour. Even at 50% discount to those over 60 years old, these prices are outrageous for pensioners to have to purchase who rely on friend & family or social carers to look after them. Are you going to charge £8 per day for a pensioner to park for 2 hours? B - to be forced to purchase in the amounts of 4x£8 and packs of 12 for the £4.80 & 12 for £2.40 is outrageous. For some people they may only require per annum 3 to 6 visitors passes of a mix of 1hr, 2hr or day per year for workmen & visitors but are forced to purchase 12 for £4.80 or 12 for £2.40 C- year on year the cost of the permit & visitors permits will increase & where will the prices stop? D- methods of purchasing are to purchase at parking shop between the hours of 9am & 5pm on a weekday. For those that work these hours aren't suitable as they are the hours they will be in work. For the elderly, many will have difficulty in being able to get to the parking shop 6 - Permit fee structure. The permit fee structure is wrong & on this I disagree with the permit fees. 7 - If I charge my vehicle, I will need to re-apply for a permit & in the mean time have to pay visitors permits until the new permit comes through. These are other restrictions I disagree with the introduction of the CPZ 8 - If you implement CPZ in Granville Rd it doesn't guarantee me space to be able to park in Granville road & you won't refund the CPZ permit fee to me will you if I'm unable to park having paid the fee? Therefore I dispute the CPZ being introduced on the grounds that it doesn't guarantee me space to park 9 - It has been proven in areas around London that the introduction of CPZ to roads actually reduces the total amount of parking available in the road 10 - It will do environmental harm. The CPZ tax (costs) will promote paving over front gardens for people to park their cars 11- If you implement CPZ to areas it should be given free of charge to residents in the street to park their vehicles in their street. Yes, there will be costs incurred by implementing CPZ, for painting white lines on the roads & employing traffic wardens, but these costs will be offset by the free of charge to residents If you were to implement a free of charge to residents & give residents a number of free visitors parking tickets per household per year, then I would agree to the CPZ but only on these grounds As one of the residents who are petitioning against the CPZ being introduced I am formerly writing for all the above reasons why I disagree with the implementation of CPZ and am against it. I also await a response to all the points I have made. Please let me know the outcome of the CPZ. Yours sincerely, Darren Jackson	Objection	email	Yes
----	----------------	----------	----	----------------	--	-----------	-------	-----

37	Dr Rohan Kapur	02/03/10		I live on one of the roads that isn't included in the CPZ and I have to register my very strong objection to this. What will happen is that Ellenborough Road which is already used by many outside people to park on will become almost impossible to park during the day. I already tend not to use my car because I know that when I come back (if I go out during the daytime that my ability to park back on my road will be in the order of 0%). I have to confine my car driving to essential trips and after 8 pm because I cannot park back on my road and I do not want to carry heavy shopping bags four or five hundred yards back to my street from where I park. At times I have had to park on Norman Avenue, Eldon Road, Granville Road and one time even further away. Making a small CPZ is going to create utter havoc, not to mention the amount of overflow parking that we will have to suffer in parts of Granville Road, Ellenborough Road and Norman Avenue. You are basically doing this to force us to accept a CPZ at the next consultation because by then we would be so fed up of overflow parking that we would welcome it. Well that is very cowardly of you. We do not like being forced by circumstances brought on by your introduction of a smaller Woodside CPZ. It is akin to being bullied, and that I will not accept. Front gardens will be ripped up for parking and there is already several of these in Granville Avenue making the risk of flooding more likely. You are creating havoc and you are purely after financial gain.	Objection	No
38	R Bygrave	03/03/10	Eldon Road	I live in Eldon Road and I wish to object strongly against the proposed CPZ. It is not necessary and although there has been displaced parking since the introduction of the CPZ around Perth Road it has lessened in recent months. I moved to the area because there was no CPZ and really feel this is yet another money-making scheme by Haringey council. Many local residents are older people who rely on family and friends to visit. CPZ's put people off visiting. They limit the time visitors can come and stay. They also limit the time that Community nurses, district nurses and carers can visit. Haringey is one of the few boroughs that do not give permits to community staff so what can people expect as they get older... less visits from family and friends... shortened visits from community services... more and more isolation. Other boroughs are removing road markings and trying police road schemes but Haringey wants to paint more yellow lines in residential streets. As previously stated I do not support the CPZ scheme for Woodside. Your earlier consultation clearly showed that people in Eldon Road, Saxon Road and File Road do not support the scheme and I suggest you leave us out of it completely. Let us cope with any displacement parking. I have never had to walk more than two minutes away from my home even if I have had to park in another road, which is rare.	Objection	Yes
39	Mr W Tsang	03/03/10	Saxon Road	As a resident, I am oppose to the introduction of CPZ in Saxon Road. There is no parking problem in this road. The roads outside of the proposed area did not vote for CPZ. Saxon Road also did not vote for CPZ and should not have been proposed for it. CPZ will have a bad effect on social and family life, discouraging visitors. CPZ will create ever more complex situation and scenario, costing local residents a lot more than now.	Objection	Yes
40	Helen Kent	02/03/10	Eldon Road	I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend the controlled parking zone and create a new Woodside CPZ including Eldon Road. I have lived on Eldon Road for 11 years now and prior to the implementation of the CPZ in Leith, Perth, Beewick, Forfar and Stirling Roads could always park my car on Eldon Road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents who live in the existing CPZ zones or have 2 cars, people visiting the Crown Court, Arriva drivers and other non residents. There is a high percentage of white vans that also park on our road for days at a time. I can now rarely park my car near my house on Eldon Road. If I go out and leave my space it is impossible to find a space on Eldon Road and have to park a long way off. I support the CPZ as not being able to park in Eldon Road has the following effect on my life - 1. Not being able to park in the evening as a Health and Safety issue for me. I use my car in the evening for personal safety reasons and I do not feel safe when I have to park my car a long way from my house at night and walk home. 2. I have to carry heavy shopping quite a distance to my house. 3. When I have visitors they cannot park. I don't expect to park outside my house but near it and I am fed up of people who do not live in Eldon Road, using it as a free car park, which sometimes are left there for days on end.	Support	Yes

41	Sonia Parsons	03/03/10	58	Perth Road	To whom it may concern, I am writing/emailing you to support the proposed extension of the Wood Green Outer Controlled Parking Zone on Perth Road I live at 58 Perth Road (near the bus stop going to Wood Green), the parking situation has been bad in recent years and has considerably worsened since the introduction of the CPZ on part of Perth Road Many people park and commute to work using the W3 bus. The other people who park are those who live in the CPZ area and do not want to pay for a parking. Parking has become very problematic and this I find very unfair. The parking also causes a problem of getting on and off the bus as cars/vans block the bus stop and having to stand in the road to stop the bus. This in itself is a safety issue. I am supporting the introduction of the Wood Green Outer Controlled Parking Zone on Perth Road and the introduction of bus stop road markings where no on can park. I am also supporting the days and times the CPZ should be enforced. Thanking you in anticipation Dear Sir/Madam, Woodside Area Parking Consultation - Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to GRANVILLE Road Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leith, Berwick, Forfar, and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting the Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return. I've had people doing work in my house who have had to park several roads away and carry materials from their vehicles or double park outside to unload. Residents don't want to but feel they have no alternative but to leave wheelie bins and other objects in the road to try to keep a parking space free close to their homes. Dear Sir/Madam, Woodside Area Parking Consultation - Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to GRANVILLE Road Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leith, Berwick, Forfar, and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting the Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return. I've had people doing work in my house who have had to park several roads away and carry materials from their vehicles or double park outside to unload. Residents don't want to but feel they have no alternative but to leave wheelie bins and other objects in the road to try to keep a parking space free close to their homes. I am concerned that if the introduction/extension of the CPZ to Granville Road does not go ahead, more residents will apply for permits for a driveway in order to park in their front gardens. This will result in the loss of many more on street parking spaces. This is also very environmentally damaging, increasing water runoff and loss of habitat under concrete. Delivery and Post Office vans constantly double park in order to make deliveries completely blocking the road. All the extra cars parked in Perth Road by the bus stops make it difficult to get on and off the bus. Non-residents have parked cars and vans in my road and been picked up by people in other vehicles, which have then driven away. The cars have been left in the road for several days at a time outside residents' homes; they are just using my road as a free car park. I had a large delivery van parked outside my house for more than a week. It blocked out the light and was very unsightly. It didn't belong to a resident but it had an up to date tax disc so there was nothing I could do about it. Dear Sir/Madam, I wish to object to the proposed Woodside CPZ My objections concern the consultation process which was flawed and totally undemocratic 1. We had a consultation on the original scheme and 61% of Woodside people voted no to the CPZ. (in fact only 34 % wanted a CPZ). Instead of that being the end of the matter Haringey Council introduced another proposed CPZ scheme - a different one - that omitted certain roads. Surely we should get the chance to vote on the new proposal? It is totally different to the original proposal. It would be perfectly possible to vote 'Yes to the first CPZ proposal and No to the 2nd as they are two completely different proposals. How can that be considered democratic? 2. The original voting system based on one house one vote was totally undemocratic. Why can't we have one person one vote like in any other election? For example it's possible that two people in a shared house can have different views, yet under your system this can't be represented. 3. Haringey Council employed staff to go round to people's houses to check whether they had received the consultation. Presumably so Haringey could defend themselves against charges that people had not been consulted or not received the CPZ notification. Why didn't these staff just ask people what they thought? Then you would have had a far more representative view and it would prove that Haringey is actually interested in what people think, rather than just covering yourselves from a legal point of view. 4. In fact I think that the people who were most likely to respond to the consultation were the ones who thought there was a parking problem (the majority of people 76% - didn't even respond) yet even though these people are more likely to engage in the	Support	Email	Yes
42	Peter Corle	03/03/10	41	Granville Road	Woodside Area Parking Consultation - Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to GRANVILLE Road Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leith, Berwick, Forfar, and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting the Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return. I've had people doing work in my house who have had to park several roads away and carry materials from their vehicles or double park outside to unload. Residents don't want to but feel they have no alternative but to leave wheelie bins and other objects in the road to try to keep a parking space free close to their homes. Dear Sir/Madam, Woodside Area Parking Consultation - Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to GRANVILLE Road Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leith, Berwick, Forfar, and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting the Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return. I've had people doing work in my house who have had to park several roads away and carry materials from their vehicles or double park outside to unload. Residents don't want to but feel they have no alternative but to leave wheelie bins and other objects in the road to try to keep a parking space free close to their homes. I am concerned that if the introduction/extension of the CPZ to Granville Road does not go ahead, more residents will apply for permits for a driveway in order to park in their front gardens. This will result in the loss of many more on street parking spaces. This is also very environmentally damaging, increasing water runoff and loss of habitat under concrete. Delivery and Post Office vans constantly double park in order to make deliveries completely blocking the road. All the extra cars parked in Perth Road by the bus stops make it difficult to get on and off the bus. Non-residents have parked cars and vans in my road and been picked up by people in other vehicles, which have then driven away. The cars have been left in the road for several days at a time outside residents' homes; they are just using my road as a free car park. I had a large delivery van parked outside my house for more than a week. It blocked out the light and was very unsightly. It didn't belong to a resident but it had an up to date tax disc so there was nothing I could do about it. Dear Sir/Madam, I wish to object to the proposed Woodside CPZ My objections concern the consultation process which was flawed and totally undemocratic 1. We had a consultation on the original scheme and 61% of Woodside people voted no to the CPZ. (in fact only 34 % wanted a CPZ). Instead of that being the end of the matter Haringey Council introduced another proposed CPZ scheme - a different one - that omitted certain roads. Surely we should get the chance to vote on the new proposal? It is totally different to the original proposal. It would be perfectly possible to vote 'Yes to the first CPZ proposal and No to the 2nd as they are two completely different proposals. How can that be considered democratic? 2. The original voting system based on one house one vote was totally undemocratic. Why can't we have one person one vote like in any other election? For example it's possible that two people in a shared house can have different views, yet under your system this can't be represented. 3. Haringey Council employed staff to go round to people's houses to check whether they had received the consultation. Presumably so Haringey could defend themselves against charges that people had not been consulted or not received the CPZ notification. Why didn't these staff just ask people what they thought? Then you would have had a far more representative view and it would prove that Haringey is actually interested in what people think, rather than just covering yourselves from a legal point of view. 4. In fact I think that the people who were most likely to respond to the consultation were the ones who thought there was a parking problem (the majority of people 76% - didn't even respond) yet even though these people are more likely to engage in the	Support	Email	Yes
43	Elizabeth Adams	03/03/10	41 A	Granville Road	Woodside Area Parking Consultation - Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to GRANVILLE Road Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leith, Berwick, Forfar, and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting the Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return. I've had people doing work in my house who have had to park several roads away and carry materials from their vehicles or double park outside to unload. Residents don't want to but feel they have no alternative but to leave wheelie bins and other objects in the road to try to keep a parking space free close to their homes. I am concerned that if the introduction/extension of the CPZ to Granville Road does not go ahead, more residents will apply for permits for a driveway in order to park in their front gardens. This will result in the loss of many more on street parking spaces. This is also very environmentally damaging, increasing water runoff and loss of habitat under concrete. Delivery and Post Office vans constantly double park in order to make deliveries completely blocking the road. All the extra cars parked in Perth Road by the bus stops make it difficult to get on and off the bus. Non-residents have parked cars and vans in my road and been picked up by people in other vehicles, which have then driven away. The cars have been left in the road for several days at a time outside residents' homes; they are just using my road as a free car park. I had a large delivery van parked outside my house for more than a week. It blocked out the light and was very unsightly. It didn't belong to a resident but it had an up to date tax disc so there was nothing I could do about it. Dear Sir/Madam, I wish to object to the proposed Woodside CPZ My objections concern the consultation process which was flawed and totally undemocratic 1. We had a consultation on the original scheme and 61% of Woodside people voted no to the CPZ. (in fact only 34 % wanted a CPZ). Instead of that being the end of the matter Haringey Council introduced another proposed CPZ scheme - a different one - that omitted certain roads. Surely we should get the chance to vote on the new proposal? It is totally different to the original proposal. It would be perfectly possible to vote 'Yes to the first CPZ proposal and No to the 2nd as they are two completely different proposals. How can that be considered democratic? 2. The original voting system based on one house one vote was totally undemocratic. Why can't we have one person one vote like in any other election? For example it's possible that two people in a shared house can have different views, yet under your system this can't be represented. 3. Haringey Council employed staff to go round to people's houses to check whether they had received the consultation. Presumably so Haringey could defend themselves against charges that people had not been consulted or not received the CPZ notification. Why didn't these staff just ask people what they thought? Then you would have had a far more representative view and it would prove that Haringey is actually interested in what people think, rather than just covering yourselves from a legal point of view. 4. In fact I think that the people who were most likely to respond to the consultation were the ones who thought there was a parking problem (the majority of people 76% - didn't even respond) yet even though these people are more likely to engage in the	Support	Email	Yes
44	Andrew Hutson	03/03/10	46	Ellenborough Road	Woodside Area Parking Consultation - Proposed extension and introduction of CPZ I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to GRANVILLE Road Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leith, Berwick, Forfar, and part of Perth Road in March 2009 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our own road. All the parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting the Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked in the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road on return. I've had people doing work in my house who have had to park several roads away and carry materials from their vehicles or double park outside to unload. Residents don't want to but feel they have no alternative but to leave wheelie bins and other objects in the road to try to keep a parking space free close to their homes. I am concerned that if the introduction/extension of the CPZ to Granville Road does not go ahead, more residents will apply for permits for a driveway in order to park in their front gardens. This will result in the loss of many more on street parking spaces. This is also very environmentally damaging, increasing water runoff and loss of habitat under concrete. Delivery and Post Office vans constantly double park in order to make deliveries completely blocking the road. All the extra cars parked in Perth Road by the bus stops make it difficult to get on and off the bus. Non-residents have parked cars and vans in my road and been picked up by people in other vehicles, which have then driven away. The cars have been left in the road for several days at a time outside residents' homes; they are just using my road as a free car park. I had a large delivery van parked outside my house for more than a week. It blocked out the light and was very unsightly. It didn't belong to a resident but it had an up to date tax disc so there was nothing I could do about it. Dear Sir/Madam, I wish to object to the proposed Woodside CPZ My objections concern the consultation process which was flawed and totally undemocratic 1. We had a consultation on the original scheme and 61% of Woodside people voted no to the CPZ. (in fact only 34 % wanted a CPZ). Instead of that being the end of the matter Haringey Council introduced another proposed CPZ scheme - a different one - that omitted certain roads. Surely we should get the chance to vote on the new proposal? It is totally different to the original proposal. It would be perfectly possible to vote 'Yes to the first CPZ proposal and No to the 2nd as they are two completely different proposals. How can that be considered democratic? 2. The original voting system based on one house one vote was totally undemocratic. Why can't we have one person one vote like in any other election? For example it's possible that two people in a shared house can have different views, yet under your system this can't be represented. 3. Haringey Council employed staff to go round to people's houses to check whether they had received the consultation. Presumably so Haringey could defend themselves against charges that people had not been consulted or not received the CPZ notification. Why didn't these staff just ask people what they thought? Then you would have had a far more representative view and it would prove that Haringey is actually interested in what people think, rather than just covering yourselves from a legal point of view. 4. In fact I think that the people who were most likely to respond to the consultation were the ones who thought there was a parking problem (the majority of people 76% - didn't even respond) yet even though these people are more likely to engage in the	Objection	Email	No

45	Kelly Horton	01/03/10	37	Homecroft Road	Further to the statutory notification letter dated 11th February 2010 concerning the extension of the CPZ in the Woodside area I would like to air my concerns on this proposal My original vote in October 2009 was for Homecroft Road, where I live, to not have a CPZ enforcement, as personally I feel that it is just a money spinner for the local council. However, now that I have seen the proposal for the CPZ zone, I am concerned that Homecroft Road will be subject to displacement parking. I do not want the inconvenience forced upon me to park in a road that is not close to my home and would rather pay the permit fee to avoid this and have Homecroft Road included in the CPZ.	Objection	Email	No
46	Georgie Grajewski	04/03/10	N/A	N/A	I am sending this message with regard to the Woodside CPZ in Perth Road, Fife Road other roads in the Woodside area Quite honestly for Haringey Council to say that everybody or the majority of people want a CPZ is misleading and bordering on an untruth. The problems and issues surrounding parking are 100% the fault of Haringey Council. Introducing CPZs has squeezed the parking into smaller and smaller areas and it's done for one thing - money for the Council. If CPZs were removed then the pressure would be relieved and parking would be more evenly spread across all roads instead of Drivers parking trying to avoid a ticket. My husband's job involves him traveling to houses to carry out Energy Performance Certificate surveys and he spends about 20% of his time trying to find places to park. He's even willing to pay at a meter but the spaces there are usually taken as these are limited. If other drivers are doing the same then it's hardly helping the environment and traffic congestion. If the issue is cars parking near the Stations then a limited time can be introduced i.e. 11am to 12 noon. I cannot understand why there is a 8.30am or 9.30am until 6.30pm every day except Sunday. If Haringey Council were so concerned about helping residents park then any CPZ would be free instead of introducing a stealth tax.	Objection	Email	No
47	Irons and Walker Family	05/03/10	109	Granville Road	To Haringey Council Sustainable Transport. We DO NOT want a CPZ in our area. We already struggle to find parking near our homes and we feel that adding a CPZ will only make this worse. Please do not add a controlled parking zone in Woodside. Thank you for listening.	Objection	Email	No
48	Mr K Shah	04/03/10	471	Lordship Lane	We oppose the proposed extension of CPZ as it would adversely affect the availability of convenient parking for shoppers. In a period of recession, many of the shops are empty and more would be forced to close down if shoppers are not able to park near the shops. Indeed if the aim of the CPZ is to deter commuter parking, then a restriction from 8.00am to 6.30pm is unnecessary. Just a one hour restriction in the middle of the day should be sufficient to deter commuter parking, while allowing shoppers to park for short times. This would also decrease the administration costs of the scheme as wardens would only need to operate one hour a day. The proposal would also reduce the available parking places for parents on the school run at the Lordship Lane School as more of the displaced cars would park near the school. There are currently no provisions for parking bays for commercial permits. Where are the shopkeepers and the staff working in the shop meant to park? The CPZ would adversely affect the pharmacist to make home visits to deliver medicines to patients as no special provisions are made for pharmacists and their staff although they are an integral part of the NHS. The long term effect of the CPZ scheme is evident from the Noel Park Estate extension. There are not enough residents' cars so many of the bays are vacant throughout the day but nobody else is able to utilise them. A cost is associated as the bays are reserved for residents.	Objection	Email	No
49	Bernard Desne	04/03/10	31	Norman Ave	1- It does not work. Proof positive to the council is the overflow that it creates onto neighbouring streets. If the council was telling the truth that the streets they zone have asked for or voted for CPZ then these residents would pay for their parking vouchers and would not displace onto the streets that are free of CPZ. 2- The car is not a luxury item but is a must in every family home. It is for the upbringing of families to drive children to school safely, to leisure centres, to sports and competitive activities and trips to the country. These are activities necessary to enhance family life. The council should study these factors clearly in order not to disrupt decent family upbringing with CPZ. 3- CPZ serves no purpose for residents and only provides income for the council. 4- I do not believe the numbers and percentages presented by the council. At a meeting on the 27th of Nov 09 in Baptist Church, Eldon Road, the council representatives presented what they declared to be the results of the survey. Three people in attendance from Granville Road stated that they voted no to CPZ but was shown on the chart as voting YES. When challenged, one of the representatives stated that this would not be changed. All during the meeting, it was clear that the purpose of your representatives' presents was to sell to Woodside Estate CPZ that they could not do otherwise. 5- If the council was interested in establishing a honest survey, they could cancel the CPZ on the Scotch Estate and all of the Estate road from White Heart Lane to the Roundway for a period of six months. Then do a properly advertised and supervised survey. The council appears to be determined to extract maximum income from the motorist. It is unfair and unjust that one body of people are singled out to finance a Borough. The Borough should be financed evenly by all its residents. However, instead of the council being honest, they are trying to force a CPZ on the residents. P.S. - The idea of an alternative system is purely based on my judgement alone and has not been mentioned or discussed with anybody involved with CPZ.	Objection	email	No

50	George Karanicola	09/03/10			Lordslip Lane	I own a shop M. K. Televisions in Lordship Lane and have been working there now for 30 years and it was brought to my attention that you will be enforcing a controlled parking zone around that area. Unfortunately I was away so I could not get in touch any sooner. Please note that I need to park there every day as I have a van and need to load and unload my equipment on a daily basis. I have always managed to park at the rear of my shop all these years without any problem. So it is of great concern that you are now thinking of changing the area in this way. Can I just stress that it will effect my business in many ways. It will restrict my customers from parking at the rear of the shop if this enforced, so it will have an impact on my overall business and profits. Also if I do not have an area where I can officially park to load and unload goods on a daily basis, I will not be able to work effectively. With the recession bring I am one of the only small businesses of this kind in the area and have so far managed to stay afloat. If you bring this restriction with parking into place it will definitely impact my business. Please reconsider and try to think of the consequences this will have.	Comments	Email	No
51	Manoj	03/03/10			New Road	a cpz would be bad for the community turning neighbouring streets into over spill car parks whilst allowing less parking within the cpz. Their are alot of people who have families and this causes issues with parking as they cannot be near their homes as commuters are parking their cars there during the day	Objection	Email	No
52	Hidir	03/03/10			Elton Road	I am a tenant in living court, eldon road and I am strongly against the new cpz because it would effect the local businesses as they all rely on the side street parking also this doesn't effect the residents as it is only short term parking I would also like to point that I have been a resident at this address for over 10 years and have never had any problem as to parking there is always available space no matter whether there is road works or utility work cars parked I feel like the council is trying to squeeze extra cash and I strongly believe that a lot of people are against it so what I don't understand is why is a decision like this being approved as we live in a democratic country and the majority should have the say rather than some one sitting in a room living somewhere else should have say in how things should be run if this is introduced then it is going to up the cost of each family	Objection	Email	No
53	Hashim Ahmed Afia Ali	07/03/10	46		Perth Road.	Dear Sir, I wholeheartedly support the extension of the Wood Green CPZ to include Perth Road (uncontrolled section). The last few months have been a nightmare. We are having to park quite a distance from our home which makes getting the baby carseat and shopping in and out extremely difficult – this is problematic for us when there is only one person in the car with the baby. The parking situation has meant busier and more unsafe roads with visibility reduced due to the parking congestion and cars overtaking buses who cannot pull in near the kerb. The parking area is used by commuters to Wood Green station and by people who live in the Wood Green CPZ who do not wish to pay the annual small fee. This is unfair.	Support	Email	Yes
54	Mr Brian John	02/03/10	37		Granville Road	I am writing to say that I strongly support the proposal to extend or introduce the controlled parking zone to Granville Road. Since the existing CPZ was extended to Leini, Barwick, Forfar, Stirling, and part of Perth Road in March 2005 it has been extremely difficult for residents to park in our road. The parking spaces are taken up by commuters, people working in Wood Green town centre, residents from roads in the CPZ who don't want to pay to park in their own roads, people working or visiting the Crown Court and other non-residents, including some commercial vehicles that are parked on the road for days at a time without being moved. If you have to go out during the day it is almost impossible to find a parking space in your own road to return. It has made it very difficult for me as a resident to park in my own road, and has also increased the amount of traffic using Granville Road as people drive up and down the road looking for parking spaces here or in neighbouring roads.	Support	Email	Yes
55	L Leszman	01/03/10	42		Perth Road	Dear Sir, I think the extension of the Wood Green CPZ very necessary. I hope it will be enforced at your earliest convenience	support	Letter	Yes
56	Miss Karen Baker	02/03/10	21		Honecroft Road	Since the last CPZ ended at Paisley Road I have had lots of problems trying to park in my street due to the constant stream of commuters who use wood green tube station. Directly opposite my house there is an Electrical Wholesalers whose staff have parking inside the yard, but chose to park in the street, and that is without the steady flow of customers who buy goods at this warehouse and who have by car. I have great difficulty finding a parking space Monday - Friday between 7.30am-6pm. I have had no problems parking before this, and I welcome the outer Controlled Parking Zone.	Comments	Letter	Yes
57	Helen Keir	05/03/10	57		Elton Road	We the undersigned support the scheme and wish for it to be introduced as soon as possible	support	Letter	Yes
58	D & M Carroll	18/02/10	60		Elton Road	We would like to say that CPZ is necessary to the residents as parking in the area has become increasingly worse. This is due to the CPZ being introduced near the wood green tube station. We are fully committed to the CPZ being introduced as soon as possible.	support	Letter	Yes
59	Hashim Ahmed Afia Ali	07/03/10	46		Perth Road	Dear Sir, I wholeheartedly support the extension of the Wood Green CPZ to include Perth Road (uncontrolled section). The last few months have been a nightmare. We are having to park quite a distance from our home which makes getting the baby carseat and shopping in and out extremely difficult – this is problematic for us when there is only one person in the car with the baby. The parking situation has meant busier and more unsafe roads with visibility reduced due to the parking congestion and cars overtaking buses who cannot pull in near the kerb. The parking area is used by commuters to Wood Green station and by people who live in the Wood Green CPZ who do not wish to pay the annual small fee. This is unfair.	support	Letter	Yes
60	Alex Haseeb	26/02/10	21		Granville Road	I strongly support the proposed introduction of the cpz. Commuters, business owners, taxi and van drivers, employees of crown court, and shoppers from wood green are taking up spaces on a daily basis. I find it impossible to park near my home. The alternative is to park streets away which is dangerous and difficult and I have small children. Many residents of Noel Park frequently park on my road. Displacement parking is occurring since the extension of the wood green outer cpz to perth road introduced last March. Generally there is more parking pressure in woodside with morning traffic jams as commuters block the road as they wait for a resident to leave. I have signed a petition in support of the cpz and hope to benefit from its introduction.	support	Letter	Yes
61	Maria Pernards	11/02/10	56		Perth Road	I am very happy with proposed extension to Perth Road	support	Letter	Yes

	Ms Z Thomas	03/03/10	7	Irving Court	I disagree with the cpz and feel it unnecessary and an added expense. Most people are against CPZ therefore this is being forced upon us unwillingly. This will limit the amount of visitors coming to our roads and will cause aggro between neighbouring roads as people will compete for parking.	Objection	Letter	Yes
62								
63	Mr Merich Zekal	05/03/10	576a	Lordship Lane	We are opposed to the extension to the ever growing controlled parking zones which are killing our business. The proposal zone in Eldon Road, Granville Road, Elm Road, Paisley Road. We have 10 staff who commute into work everyday, 2 staff from South London by car. Your permits one for £200 per person each year, that's £2,000 per annum charged to our business because of recession, we are trying to make outbacks. You have to be flexible to the business needs of the small businesses and start listening to our concerns. All the free parking spaces that are in Eldon Road and surrounding roads are essential for our offices survival. We bring employment into this deprived area and we are constantly penalized for it. If this zone is implemented in Eldon Road, all the surrounding roads will be completely full and there will be no parking for business and residents. Your biggest concern will be Ellenborough Road, having a school there with 2 school runs to consider. The ramifications to your actions will cause a lot of distress and inconvenience for car users. Granville Road became a one way system a year ago. All the shop owners I have spoken to say this road is the last road in the CPZ still free to park and use this road for their shopping. If they lose this road, they fear for their business's survival.	Objection	Letter	No
64	Peter	03/03/10	627	Lordship Lane	We have had a lot of trouble with parking in the last 10 years with the introduction of the one-way system and the lack of parking facilities outside our shop. Please what are you trying to do our trade, you put up our rents rates and then cut down our customers.	Comments	Letter	No
65	Mr H Anna	03/03/10	610	Lordship Lane	Please can you stop taking our customers away we have lost a lot of business of this parking. The one way system makes it very difficult for customers and suppliers.	Comments	Letter	No
66	Mr Michael Burton Tuck and Norris Ltd	25/03/10	622	Lordship Lane	We understand there is to be a further parking zone from File Road/Granville Road. We hope the Council consider the ramifications of this extension. Little consideration, as in the past, has resulted in a massive loss of trade and much consternation in our staff and customers particularly in a recession.	Comments	Letter	No
67	D Demosthenous (Demos & Sons Ltd)	04/03/10	568	Lordship Lane	As a business/shop owner who has been working in the Wood Green area for the last 26 years, it has become consistently more difficult to trade with the public and tradesmen who visit our premises in the last 5 years or so, we have had the inclusion of a bus lane, traffic/bus lane camera, CCTV, pay and display/ resident parking (CPZ), which no doubt has brought pressure upon my business and others in the sense of parking not only for ourselves but more importantly for our customers. Another proposal that has been issued by Haringey Council is that Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) to be extended behind Lordship Lane beginning from Eldon Road and the connecting roads to the left hand side. This will undoubtedly be a further blow to what is already a big problem in terms of any parking allowance. This will jeopardize our businesses altogether which is simply unacceptable. My main concern, to overcome this problem would be to adjust the Bus Lane operating times which currently, - Monday to Saturday 7am to 10am and 4pm to 7pm. May I suggest the morning am restriction be uplifted so that loading parking bays can be used to help ease parking restrictions on our forecourt, not just for our neighbouring shops but for their customers alike. We have always believed in looking after the local community, not just our customers and hope this can be reflected upon yourselves to do the same.	Comments	Letter	No
68	Ozdis (SuperSaver)	04/03/10	554	Lordship Lane	We oppose the extension to the parking zone. Our customers need to park somewhere and you are proposing to destroy our businesses by taking the parking away.	Objection	Letter	No
69	Miss Tina Baldock (Posh Pets)	02/03/10	481	Lordship Lane	I can't believe what this council has done to our business, and particularly our parade of shops. How are we expected to pay increasing rent and rates and support our families when you remove the parking for our customers and supplies.	Comments	Letter	No
70	Mr Shan	02/03/10	471	Lordship Lane	As you know from meetings with your council officers we have been dreadfully treated and our businesses severely damaged by the alterations to the parking in this area. Surely some parking arrangements for our customers in front of our small parade can be made.	Comments	Letter	No
71	M Patel	04/03/10	473-475	Lordship Lane	WE DONT NEED THESE EXTENSIONS! Having effectively destroyed our trade with the altering of the road way outside our premises, then the introduction of permitted parking on the Noel Park Estate we have lost 90% of our business. With the new extension, parking will congest in around the area opposite our shop and make it totally impossible for our remaining customers and supplies to reach. Please would you think again.	Comments	Letter	No
72	Ms Hema Patel	02/03/10	514	Lordship Lane	We oppose the extension to the CPZ from File Road-Granville. With this extension more vehicles will cram into the adjacent area to our business and the school, which will effectively dislodge our customers and create problems for our staff and suppliers.	Objection	Letter	No
73	Mr F Kolat	04/03/10	608	Lordship Lane	Your proposed extension to the LPZ is a further nail in the coffin of our business the one way system reduced our trade in the lack of parking outside our shop caused massive loss of trade after a lot of effort to change this to parking meters this has helped. But the extension to permitted parking has removed a large number of our customers from our businesses.	Objection	Letter	No
74	Mr Y Constanti	04/03/10	614	Lordship Lane	Please reverse the one way system and reconsider your parking decision in a serious manner this time. Your proposed extension to the permitted parking is making it very difficult for our businesses. We can't park our customers can't park and there are fines if you need to unload when the bays are full, you are crippling our businesses.	Objection	Letter	No

75	Mrs Y Spyrri	04/03/10	477	Lordship Lane	I am opposed to the control parking zone for Eldon Road. Once that's implemented everyone will use surrounding roads such as Ellenborough Road, which is opposite my shop. Eldon and Ellenborough Road are the only free parking roads left for my clients to sue. You have now implemented another council parking zone behind my shop. What are you trying to do to our businesses? You have killed our little parade of shops and destroyed my trade. I am very concerned that the Council is now intending to extend the CPZ to cover Eldon and Granville Roads at the side and rear of our business. We have had enough of these constant restrictions to our customers and staff with the bus lane on Lordship Lane and new CPZ are opposite our premises. We have lost so much trade due to building contractors not being able to gain access to our shop due to the empty bus lane. We attended meetings and protested to no end. Now you intend to continue with the CPZ that no-one wants.	Objection	Letter	No
76	Simon Walters AMES GLASS	25/02/10	572	Lordship Lane	I wish the formal consultation to note my objection to any expansion of a CPZ in this area. A CPZ is not the correct solution to the problems which exist on the edge of the existing CPZ and have been caused by previous extensions. The new proposal is fundamentally different from what was proposed informally last year. If commuter traffic is the reason for CPZ then why does it need to be all day? This is causing unnecessary hardship. The proposal states that some roads have been included because of likely displacement parking. There will still be displacement parking on streets next to any new CPZ. The scheme should not be introduced piecemeal. Most people do not want it. Also having two schemes introduced will cut down people's options even if they have a permit. CPZ has the effect of leaving many roads empty all day and causing more congestion in roads nearby. The parking needs should be spread out not bottled up into smaller and smaller areas.	Objection	Letter	No
77	Nona Getty	04/03/10	33	Norman Ave	I wish the formal consultation to note my objection to any expansion of a CPZ in this area. A CPZ is not the correct solution to the problems which exist on the edge of the existing CPZ and have been caused by previous extensions. The new proposal is fundamentally different from what was proposed informally last year. If commuter traffic is the reason for CPZ then why does it need to be all day? This is causing unnecessary hardship. The proposal states that some roads have been included because of likely displacement parking. There will still be displacement parking on streets next to any new CPZ. The scheme should not be introduced piecemeal. Most people do not want it. Also having two schemes introduced will cut down people's options even if they have a permit. CPZ has the effect of leaving many roads empty all day and causing more congestion in roads nearby. The parking needs should be spread out not bottled up into smaller and smaller areas.	Objection	Letter	No
78	Ms Gail Ekington	02/03/10	86	Eldon Road	Specifically, have the following objections • a cpz is not needed in this area • i do expect the councils expansion of the parking controls to create problems, so a cpz would be bad for the community, turning neighbouring streets into overspill car parking areas, whilst allowing less parking within the cpz - described as displacement parking • a cpz would damage local shops and businesses, discouraging passing trade and making it hard for local workers to park. • a cpz would isolate those who rely on visitors, everyone will have to pay for permits for visitors and care workers, not just people with cars. • my understanding is that the number of permits would be limited too, which discriminates against people who have chosen not to have a car to minimise environmental impact. I am also concerned about the environmental impact of front gardens being changed into off street parking. • A cpz would cause disruption to normal routines giving nowhere to park for traders who we want to call on us and add too to the cost of tradespeople doing work. A suggestion to counter this was limited times of operation for the CPZ but this has been ignored too.	Objection	Email	Yes
79	Andrew S Peacock	04/03/10	99	Eldon Road	I am writing to you to voice my incredulous concern at your utter contempt for the democratic process and total disregard of public opinion. By your own admission 61% of local residents do not want cpz. We do not need it, we do not want it. Over the years, you have gradually extended the zones citing knock on parking problems caused by the previous zones as the reason. We now have virtually empty roads all the way to the town centre which is a ridiculous under utilisation of them. You should be getting rid of them not instigating them. Even allowing for the knock on effect, there is still no problem parking in this area even with many people from the Perth Road area parking here to avoid your scandalous charges. This scheme is introduced only to raise money from the residents, if the parking.	Objection	Letter	Yes
80	gsweetser @lycos.co.uk	03/03/10		Granville Road	Since the notification was sent out 11/02/10 I have kept a note of how difficult it has been to park Mon-Fri. On only one occasion have I not been able to park within the affected section ie between Eldon Rd & Lordship Lane. On that occasion I parked between Ellenborough Rd & Eldon Rd. From 6.30 onwards spaces always become available and as it was a Thursday evening and I did not want to be stuck behind the refuse collection lorry on Fri morning I walked down the road and moved my car. I consider that a very, very minor inconvenience. 2. "Displaced Parking". This is undoubtedly a problem caused by the councils existing CPZ. I see it every day where residents of Moselle Ave and the other CPZ avenues leading to the Shopping City and Perth Rd are driving up Granville Rd between 7.30 am looking for spaces, park and then walk out of the end of the road back to their homes. 3. Commuters/Shoppers. I think any problems caused are just a reflection of the lack of parking available generally. If you are willing to spend council tax payers money on parking schemes why not create some affordable long time parking spaces?	Objection	Email	Yes

81	Mr Andrew Brull	03/03/10	43	Granville Road	As a resident in the area, I would like to raise my strong objection to the proposed extension of the Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) in Woodside. I would also like to point out that the majority of people in Woodside area are also opposed to the CPZ, with nearly two-to-one majority (61% vs 35% of total responses in the recent survey) opposing the extension to their street. It is also notable that an even greater proportion of respondents (31% vs 15%), or three-to-one, do not want the scheme extended to their street if neighbouring streets were included in the scheme. Any extension of the CPZ is therefore against the wishes of the residents in Woodside. This should be reason enough for the council to finally abandon its folly of wanting to extend the CPZ. In addition, my own objections to the CPZ are: 1. It is unnecessary as the existing CPZ area is sufficient to stop parking problems in the Wood Green and woodside areas. 2. It is costly and inconvenient for the residents 3. It will badly affect those who rely on visitors as we will have to pay for permits for visitors and carers 4. The council has not put forward any credible argument for why we need the CPZ extension 5. The CPZ is a self-spreading blight which will naturally try to expand as the streets just outside the zone become overspill parking areas. I believe that this must be stopped.	Objection	Letter	Yes
82-125	Various	Various	Various	Various within proposed CPZ	X33 Standard format letter from residents of Perth Road, Melrose Avenue	Support	Letter	Yes
126-174	Various	Various	Various	Various 21 within and 27 outside proposed CPZ	X48 Standard form objecting to the CPZ	Objection	Letter	21 Yes
175-187	Various	Various	Various	Tintern Road	X13 Tintern Road Standard format letter requesting that Tintern Road is included in the proposal	Comments Requesting Inclusion of Tintern Road	Letter	No
186	Vivien Rodgers	04/03/10	109	Perth Road	Please note that I categorically object to the proposed extension in Perth and Fife. The Council has created the problem by introducing cpz in only two streets, knowing there would be displacement. Consultation excluded some streets from the survey which is undemocratic Council is using parking as a means to tax residents Council is ignoring the effect cpz will have on small businesses. Potential customers will not be able to park. A CPZ in Scotch Estate would only ever be installed at the request of local residents living in the majority of all the streets on both sides of Perth Road. The entire scotch estate would be introduced in one part of the Estate and not the other. When 61% voted no, the Council has proposed 2 CPZ's Harragey Council has succeeded in pitting neighbour against neighbour and street against street in its effort to milk money from the residents. Now there is a problem with parking on almost every street in Scotch Estate except those within the cpz. We never had a problem with parking until the Council introduced cpz in Dunbar and Soway Please note that I categorically object to the proposed extension in Perth and Fife. The Council has created the problem by introducing cpz in only two streets, knowing there would be displacement.	Objection	By Hand	Yes
187	Margaret Rodgers	04/03/10	109	Perth	Consultation excluded some streets from the survey which is undemocratic Council is using parking as a means to tax residents Council is ignoring the effect cpz will have on small businesses. Potential customers will not be able to park. A CPZ in Scotch Estate would only ever be installed at the request of local residents living in the majority of all the streets on both sides of Perth Road. The entire scotch estate would be introduced in one part of the Estate and not the other. When 61% voted no, the Council has proposed 2 CPZ's Harragey Council has succeeded in pitting neighbour against neighbour and street against street in its effort to milk money from the residents. Now there is a problem with parking on almost every street in Scotch Estate except those within the cpz. Mr and Mrs Rodgers had a problem with parking until the Council introduced cpz in Dunbar and Soway Since the scheme has been put into operation, the lower part of Perth Road is now almost deserted, but the cars have now moved further up which was previously a non congested road - which I live in We are regularly visited by our family and now they will have to pay, and now we shall have less visitors How would you like to receive the problems of your neighbours	Objection	By Hand	Yes
188	Alan Erwin	05/03/10	101	Perth Road		Objection	Letter	Yes

190	Margaret Rodgers	04/03/10	109	Perth Road	Please note my objections to the apking restrictions for the following reasons. 1. Before Haringey Council introduced a CPZ, starting with Dunbar and Solway, there was never a parking problem in Perth, Fife, Paisley or other neighbouring streets. You have caused the problem. 2. Even though the majority have voted against a CPZ, Haringey Council has ignored us and want to extend one CPZ and introduce a second – something that was never proposed before. 4. I do not have a vehicle. Haringey Council is curtailing my freedom and personal life by forcing me and others like me to ration visits from friends and relatives. 5. It is unfair to local shops, businesses and tradesmen who come into the area. 6. It will have an environmental impact as many people will pave over their front gardens to create space to park vehicles. The whole concept of forcing people to pay to park their vehicles is wrong. Car owners pay road tax and insurance and Haringey residents pay very high council tax rates. This is simply another money-grabbing exercise from Haringey Council.	Objection	Email	Yes
191	Vivien Rodgers on behalf of Scotch Estate Residents	43/3/10	109	Perth Road	Re: Woodside Area Parking Consultation – Proposed extension of the Wood Green Outer Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) and the introduction of the Woodside CPZ We write on behalf of a large group of residents and other users of local services in response to the statutory consultation on the proposed Controlled Parking Zone for the Scotch Estate in Woodside Ward Please note that this group is strongly against any form of CPZ in this area and are responding with our objections. Enclosed in this package you will find numerous petitions and letters of protest from residents who object to the introduction of any type of CPZ as proposed by Haringey Council 1. General 1.1 The Council does not appear to have undertaken an independent survey to determine the true scale of the problems of commuter parking, and associated traffic flows, in the area, relying instead on anecdotal evidence to determine policy. 1.2 The Council has apparently failed to consider alternative solutions to the problems of parking of commuter vehicles 1.3 The Council has failed to consider the rights of the voters, instead pursuing implementation of an anti-car owner policy 1.4 The Council has apparently failed to undertake an independent survey to determine the scale of car ownership in the area 2. The Local Consultation 2.1 The Local Consultation was flawed in several respects • The Council has failed to consult democratically in the first instance all the voters in the Ward • Surveys have shown that the Document was not received by every household nor by every Voter. 2.2 The Local Consultation Document was misleading in the following respects: • The aim of a CPZ is to prioritise parking for residents by restricting non-resident and commuter parking. - Unless specifically designed, a CPZ does not provide the number of parking spaces that would be needed by residents • "Better traffic management – by reducing illegal and disruptive parking." There is no evidence that there is illegal parking in the area, which requires parking restrictions which at present do not exist. Disruptive parking is not defined in the text, but while there is shortage of space, no disruption can occur except in a process • "Improving access for emergency vehicles and waste collection vehicles." The roads in Woodside Ward are narrow, and if the CPZ addresses this issue by removing parking from one side of the roads, then over half of all the residents' cars will be forced out of the area • "Improving safety for all road users, including pedestrians and cyclists through improved visibility" There is no evidence of accidents in the Ward • "There are, however, some downsites to a CPZ, most notably displacement parking on roads just outside the zone." The full list of 'downsites' was not included in this part. The information that a parking place is not guaranteed was placed on Page 7, after the questionnaire section. • "The feedback received from the consultation will be presented in a report to the December 2009 meeting of the Council's Cabinet, which will consider the consultation results, along with other factors such as safety implications and the need to ensure the decision to proceed to Statutory Consultation seems not to have been taken by Cabinet." This suggested that the results would be considered by the Cabinet. In the event not only did the December meeting of the Cabinet not discuss the feedback, the decision to proceed to Statutory Consultation seems not to have been taken by Cabinet. 3. Result of Local Consultation 3.1 The Council's consultation has been incomplete (please see item 2.1 above) 3.2 The Council has not published full data on the returns. In particular the choices and numbers to question "4. If a CPZ were introduced in your area, what do you think would be the most appropriate operating hours for parking control?" 3.3 The Council has based the decision to proceed to Statutory Consultation on incorrect information Surveys show that many more people than responded are opposed to the CPZ, particularly in the roads identified as part of the Statutory Consultation	Objection	Email	Yes

					There is anecdotal evidence that the results do not reflect the actual polling response, some households being recorded as voting for the proposal, when in fact the vote was against				
					4. Proposal following Local Consultation 4.1 The Council has selected a group of roads from which majority responses were for the CPZ. The low level of returns, however, makes this proposal self-serving - the Council failed to confirm if the real majority are supportive, in contravention of dem 4.2 The Council has arbitrarily decided full day parking restrictions, when commuter parking could be controlled by a restricted number of hours, requiring less costly administration. In addition, residents will be parking outside the zone unless adequate 5. Statutory Consultation 5.1 In the October 2009 survey, and during discussions in the Focus Group meetings, the Council proposed to introduce one CPZ throughout the Scotch Estate. Residents expressed their opinions, with their agreement or objections, based on that propo 5.2 In the current Statutory Consultation, the Council is now proposing two CPZs: one for Perth and Fife (extension of current CPZ) and a second, separate CPZ for Eildon, Granville, Melrose, Paisley and Saxon. 5.3 Once again the Council has moved the goalposts. Why has the Council changed the proposal? What is the reason that the Council does not seek residents' views on this new proposal? If the Council values residents' opinions (as they always say t Please note that all the enclosed paperwork voicing the objections of the majority of residents in Scotch Estate has been delivered by hand to your offices as the Council has informed us that previous objections and petitions had 'never been received'. We have brought our objections to you in a clear, precise and reasoned manner and we trust that you, the Councillors and the Council Officers, will give due consideration to our views. We expect you to be open, honest and fair in your response to our c				
					Re Proposed CPZ - Woodside Area We attended one of the drop in sessions regarding the proposed introduction of a Controlled Parking Zone in the Woodside Area and are aware of the overwhelming objection of the majority of residents to the proposed CPZ extension in our area We are an exhibition contractor business operating in Saxon Road, with an additional storage facility just around the corner in Eildon Road. Our company operates as an exhibition contractor and we install exhibition stands at many locations throughout the UK and Europe. We have been based in Saxon Road for nearly 30 years. We have 6 members of staff who must be available to work at any time of the day or night. The nature of our business means that we are working at any time throughout the day or night as dictated by our clients' requirements. Therefore we need to access our premises at any time of day and night, as we frequently return from, or set off to, on-site installations during night-time hours. In addition, we are frequently loading and unloading vehicles from our main office and store area during daytime hours (ie. between 0700 and 1900) up to 7 days a week. The introduction of the CPZ scheme will affect our operations adversely in several ways. In Restrictions on parking our vans, which will not be allowed in residents bays In Restrictions on parking vans whilst loading In Inability of our staff to park their own vehicles near to our office, as we are informed they will not be entitled to parking permits. This will make it impossible for them to get to and from work when early starts and late finishes are required, as no public transport is available at these times. In Restrictions on loading and unloading vehicles at the weekend when working is not required At present we have none of these issues to contend with and feel that the introduction of the proposed scheme will make the operation of our business non-viable, not only from cost implications, but more importantly, logistical and staffing issues If we cannot park and load our vehicles from our warehouse we cannot offer our clients a service. If our staff cannot get to and from work because there are no transport options in unsocial hours and they cannot park their own vehicles, we cannot provide our clients with a service. As a result of either or both of these problems we would not be able to continue to operate our business whilst remaining based in this area. We feel that the whole problem of parking has been intensified in our area as a result of the previous extensions in the CPZ. This has resulted in residents in the extended areas parking second and third cars in our streets to avoid paying for the additional permits, whilst many bays in the recently extended zones remain empty. Surely this is something the council should be looking at before imposing further extensions of the CPZ and creating the same problem again in other areas I would like to point out that prior to the previous extension there were never any issues with parking in this area at all and there was always an abundance of parking spaces. Following the implementation of the extension, there was an immediate reduction in available parking spaces. However, we are still able to park in Saxon Road with relative ease during the day and night and do not feel that there is a need to extend the CPZ any further anyway. Needless to say in the current climate we cannot afford to lose clients and revenue as we are already being squeezed on prices to retain the work that we have. Any issue that affects costs to our business will have implications in this respect. We cannot afford to ignore the consequences of this scheme should it be introduced. There will be the obvious costs of permits, the cost of paying penalty charges from tickets that, although we will try to avoid, would be inevitable, and in addition, loss of business from not being able to serve our clients needs in terms of service and price. In effect we feel that we are going to be forced to consider the options of relocation away from the area in order to continue to operate. We feel that as non-voters our needs will not be accommodated and that we will have no choice but to look elsewhere to continue our business. Obviously this will result in loss of business to the council, and loss of our custom to local businesses, who we support, particularly the small businesses on the corner of Saxon Road in the parade of 5 shops, 4 of which are already closed and been empty for years. No doubt they will feel the effect of this scheme as many cars that stop at this shop would run the risk of receiving parking tickets and pass by instead. I would like to feel that the council can provide us with some options to help us continue our business. Whilst I feel				
192	Stuart Manktelow	Manktelow	1a	Saxon Road		Objection	Letter	Yes	

193	S Sortis	18/02/10	107	Perth Road	that the inclusion of loading bays may help they would not be useable once the vehicles are loaded. Business only bays will probably get fully parked by the many vans that exist in the area anyway unless there is a substantial amount of them. Provision of permits for our staff would help in allowing them to come to work during nighttime hours I hope that this letter helps to allow you and the council to understand our concerns with the proposal. Ideally we would not like to see the scheme proceed but if it does go ahead we would be keen to see what options or concessions the council are prepared to make to them to control your business in this area. We look forward to hearing of any ideas that may help. We are contactable at the address and phone number at the top of this letter. We are extremely disappointed and annoyed as a business not to have received any correspondence regarding the CPZ. The way we found out about this next stage was by local residents telling us. Hence the late reply voicing our concerns. On a personal note I feel it is wrong for the council to bring in the CPZ when the majority of residents and all business in the area and surrounding areas are against it. We were told at the meeting that the surrounding areas would not be directly informed about the CPZ, which is wrong as this will have an adverse affect on their parking. This was the case in the past when the Noel Park and Scotch estates were extended. The Woodside area was not informed although it affects all of us in all surrounding areas. Basically a CPZ is not needed in this area. It will set one street against another as the council expands the scheme. The council is responsible for causing the parking problems in this area by extending the CPZ in the Noel Park and Scotch estates against the residents wishes and now you propose to do it again. Why does the council not listen to and act on the wishes of the majority of the residents. Surely as an elected council that is what you are there to do. I can only conclude from the way the council have acted regarding the CPZ issue that they are driven by the fact that they can raise more revenue with this unnecessary and unfair tax on the residents of Woodside.	Objection	Letter	Yes
194	M Doterian	18/02/10	107	Perth Road	We do not need a cpz in Perth Road. There are only about 3 people who want it so it's not fair that we all should have to pay for it. Most people are saying that would rather lose their front gardens to off street parking than pay another tax which will turn our community into a concrete jungle. Please do not let this go ahead. I strongly oppose the cpz extension in Perth Road. Very few people in Perth Road want it, and a large majority who do not. Listen to the majority not the minority.	Objection	Letter	Yes
195	Alan Solerou	18/02/10	107	Perth Road	I do not want a cpz in my road. I cannot afford a permit and I don't think we need it.	Objection	Letter	Yes
196	Mehraj Nihal	03/03/10			To whom it may concern I am writing to complain that I believe it is absolutely ridiculous how the council is going ahead with the control parking zone around N22 SEE. It's just too much money plus over 60% of the people made their voices heard in detesting this decision. Please, do not do this. It is only going to make lives which are already hard, harder. Regards,	Objection	email	-